pp F. IX 4

1932. 36

Previous References
21-24 (20+32)
13-28 (2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18, 36, 38 46+58)

Later References

PPFSERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 2

Part B for Sept 32

SUBJECT

Utilization of the stones of the fort at Penyapatna for [illegible]

2 The Dy Comm'r proposes
vide L 2344 of 23 9 32

Sanctions the proposal

Oct 32

Ml 134-32-1

No D3 5[illegible]/32-33
Enl [illegible]

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 27th August 1932

Fort at Periyapatna

FROM

S ABDUL WAJID Esq B A.,
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

TO

P Ranga Rao, Esq , B A ,B L ,
General
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

SIR

Utilisation of stones of the Fort at Periyapatna for drains

I have the honor to forward herewith the report No 8/32-33 dated 4th August 1932 from the President, Municipal Council, Periyapatna re the above, and to request that in the circumstances explained therein, sanction of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter

The Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, intimates in his memo No 79/dated 17/13th August 1932 that the fort is not included in the list of ancient monuments in the State

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Subject to usual proprietary
other orders
A royalty will be due to Govt
if given to private persons
A for order whether any royalty
has to be claimed

Please put up the rule or order
relating to A

Removing stones from the Fort at Pennycabalam

[App I III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No 134 of 1932 956

Serial No

Under rule 20 of the Revenue Rules, Ryots have to pay royalty for removing stones from any unassessed land

Rm 10/9/32

How does the said rule apply to the present case? The Fort is perhaps outside Municipal limits

Rm 7/9/32

P 6/9/32

Rel Files 13-29 (Pgs 2, 3 50) and Rel 21-24 (Pgs 20, 32) are put up for the reference. It has been ordered in Circular Memorandum No 2948-55/Rel 21-24-32 of 23-10-25 that the Fort walls rest in Govt and can not be treated as the property of the Municipality unless specially transferred to that body by a specific order of Govt, that stones should not be removed therefrom by any individuals or local bodies except for purposes of repairs and decided improvement of the locality, and that sanction of Govt should be obtained, if any interference into walls of historic importance is considered necessary for cogent reasons ~~The question of necessity of royalty for the removal of stones has not been mentioned in the Circular~~ matter

Rm 7/9/32

The question of the recovery of any royalty in the case of Government Fort does not seem to arise

As regards para 2 of that Circular of 23 it is replaced by the Decision that the Fort and

TE—Contn Sheet

File No. of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

No.

Peddapalem is not included in the list of ancient monuments in the State. The proposal to utilise the stones from the fort for the construction of drains is made in the town, in view of the low finances of the Municipality. The proposal may in these circumstances be sanctioned.

(Secretary) 7/9

(Select Committee) II Member) 16/9

I agree (...) Issue orders 19/9

16 SEP 1932 No. 2246

To Mr. W.T. Mys 2

2344/W.T.-23-3-32

Sanction has been issued. The work for utilisation of the stones of the fort at Peddapalem for construction of drains in the town.

TYPIN... GENL & V SEC 20 SEP 193

12

G R S App F VI—G 2

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 2/9 193

Signed by Mr A S Rao

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a stand n order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Section 2

File No 134-32

Serial No 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Letter no 03 566/32-33 dt 25-8-32 from the DC regarding sanction for the utilisation of the slums fund for the Improvement of the Construction of Drains in the town

Order thereon—No 2344 dt 23/9/32 One Record Sanctioned

To the DC, requesting receipt

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBI M—20 000—10 8 31

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read -

Letter No D 3 566/32-33 dated 29th August 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore (District) soliciting sanction in the circumstances stated for the utilisation of the stones of the Fort at Periyapatna for the construction of drains in the town

---

Order No L 2344/mC/34-32-2

Dated Bangalore, the 21st September 1932
23

S A N C T I O N E D

Asubba Rao
For General Secretary to Government

To

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District with records

MK 2